## LIST OF THE BATRACHIA AND REPTILIA OF THE BAHAMA ISLANDS. <br> By E. D. COPE.

The material on which the determinations in this paper are based is the following :

A collection from New Providence and Audros, by Prof. H. C. Wood, of the University of Pennsylvania.

A collection from Turk's Island, made by Prof. A. J. Ebell, of New York.

A collection made at New Providence by Prof. H. C. Chapman, of the Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia.

A collection made by Messrs. C. H. Townsend, J. E. Benedict, and Fisher, of the U.S. Fish Commission, during the cruise of the steamer Albatross, at Cat Island, Watling's Island, Rım Kes, New Providence, and Abaco.

The collection last named is the most extensive, but it did not contain several species which were included in the others.

## BA'TRACHIA.

ANURA.
Hylodes ricordii D. \& B. Hylodes planirostris Cope, Proceeds. Acad. Phila., 186:2, 153 ; 1863, 48.
New Providence, $\mathrm{F}^{\text {W. Wr. Putnam ; H. C. Chapmar. }}$
Found also in Cuba and in Southern Florida.
Trachycephalus septentrionalis Tsch.
New Proridence; Chapman.

## REPTILIA.

## LACERTILIA.

Sphærodactylus notatus Baird.
Watling's Island (No. 14580 Nat. Mus.); New Providence, Townsend; Abaco, Orr. Found also at Key West, Florida.
Anolis distichus Cope.
New Providence, Wood; Abaco, Townsend. Also St. Domingo.
Anolis sagræ Bibron.
New Proridence, Wood, Townsend; Abaco, Townsend, Orr (14557). Common in Cuba and Yucatan.

Anolis ordinatus Cope, Proceeds. Acad. Phila., 1864, p. 175.
Turk's Island, Ebell.

Anolis principalis Linn., var. porcatus Gray.
Abaco, Townsend. Cuba.
Cyclura bæolopha Cope.
Andros, Wood.
Cyclura nubila Gray, Cope, Proceeds. Am. Philos. Soc., 1885, p. 262.
Cat Island, Townsend. Also Cuba.
Cyclura carinata Harlan.
Turk®is Island, Ebell.
This speeies is elearly distinct from the ordinary form of Cuba and the Bahamas. The comb of the third posterior digit found in the other species of the genus is here represented by a few distinct scales of identical form with, but rather larger size than the others, on the border of the toe, the gradation of proportions being complete. The small granular scales of the muzzle form also a marked difference. I mention here that the combs of the $C$. bcolopha and $C$. nubila are like those of the $C$. cornuta, and not like those of the C. carinata, as stated in my synopsis of the species of Cyclura in the Proceedings of the Amer. Philosoph. Soc., 18S.5, p. 262.*

Liocephalus carinatus Gray.
New Providence, Wood; Abaco, Townsend; 14566. Also Cuba.
Liocephalus lozogrammus, sp. nor.
The species belongs to the group with only two frontonasal plates on each side, with the L. ruviceps and $L$. personatus. From all of these species it differs considerably in color, and in some structural peculiarities, as follows:

The dorsal scales are larger than in L. raviceps. There are eleven rows on the nape between the external angles of the parietal scuta. The scales are, howerer, not subequal, as in $L$. personatus and $L$. trigeminatus; but those on the sides are much smaller than those of either the back or belly. Thus in the last-named species I count thirty-fice rows between points just abore the axilla and groin. In L. loxogrammus there are fifts-seren rows. The dorsal and candal crests are not so elevated in the $I$. loxogrammus as in the species named, althongh the candal is more elerated than the dorsal. The posterior frontonasals are remarkably large, as in the $L$. raviceps, and the head plates are all

[^0]strongly keeled. The external parietals are not twice as wide as the internal, and the latter are in contact posteriorly for half their length, reducing the interparietal to a very small size. Temporal scales moderate, keded: those of the auricular border not larger than the others. Supranasals narrow, in contact with the rostral shield, and generally separated by a small internasal; this is followed by a small first interfrontonasal, which separates the small anterior frontonasals, and this bs a small second interfrontonasal, which generally does not prevent the mutnal contact of the posterior frontonasals. The tail is compressed, except at the base. The extended hind limb reaches the eye. The prehumeral fold is strong, and has some large scales on its edge, foreshadowing the collar scales of collared forms.

Color olivaceous above, light olive yellowish below. There is a dark lateral band, which is very indistinct hehind the axillie. Anterior to this point it is black, and is subdivided posteriorly two or three times by vertical rows of yellow spots. A small yellow spot on the anterior auricular margin. There are numerous short blackish lines on the sides and dorsal region along the sutures between scale-rows, which are therefore directed upwards and backwards. These are most distinct in females. The males hare, in addition, a row of blackish spots on each side on the nape. Top of head brown. The belly is marked by cross-rows of small brown dots three or four scales apart; the scales in the intervals more or less dotted with white and pink. Legs brown, spotted above.

Mcasurements.


Nomerons specimens from Ram Rey; No. 14j69.
Boulenger in the Tol. II of the Catalogue of the lizarels in the British Muscum regards L.rariceps as the same as $I$. macropus. They are, however, clifferent species, belonging to different seetions of the genus. The L. trigeminatus is probably the immature stage of $L$. personatus, with which Dr. Boulenger properls unites it.

Amiva thoracica Cope.
New Proridence, Wrood; Abaco, Tounsend. Nos. 14566, 1457.
Mabuia agilis Raldi; Boulenger, Catal., iii, p. 190; M. ępedii "Gray," Cope, Proceeds. Amer. Philos. Soc., 1870, p. $\mathbf{3 5} 5$.
Turks Island, Ebell.

## OPחIDIA.

Typhlops lumbricalis Linn.
Abaco, Tounsent. No. 14579. The most northern locality for this West Indian species. The muzzle is more pronomuced than in tho usual form.

Stenostoma melanoterma Cope, Journal Academy Philada., 1875., p. 12s.
Wratling's Islaud, Tounsend. No. 145\%s. Several specimens not distinguishable from the trpes from Paraguay by description, as above cited.

Chilabothrus strigilatus Cope. Epicrates versicolor Steindachner.
New Providence, Wood, Townsend.
Chilabothrus chrysogaster Cope. Homalochilus chrysogaster Cope, Proceeds Amer. Philos. Society, 1870, p. $55 \%$.
In my Synopsis of the Genera of Snakes, published in the Proceeds. American Philos. Societro, 1886, p. 483, I have regarded Homalochilus Fisch., as a synonym of Chilabothrus D. \& B.

Turks Islaud, Ebell.
Ungualia maculata Graę.
New Providence, Wood, Chapman, Tounsend.
Ungualia cana Cope, Proceeds. Acart. Phila., 1863, p. 129.
Inagna.
Diadophis rubescens Cope, Proceeds. Amer. Philos. Soc., 1835, p. 403.
New Proridence, Chapman.
Falsophis vudii Cope.
New Providence, Wood, Tounsend.
Batrachia: RECAPITULATION.
Anura
Reptilia:
Lacertilia ..................................................................................... 12
Ophidia ........................................................................................ 8
22
These species may be classified as follows, with reference to their geographical relations, as they are found in-

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { America. } \end{aligned}$ | Cuba. | St. Domingo. | $\begin{gathered} \text { West } \\ \text { Indies in } \\ \text { general. } \end{gathered}$ | Peculiar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annya ... } \\ & \text { Lacertilia } \\ & \text { Ophidia.. } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | 1 5 1 | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ | 5 |
|  | 3 | i | 3 | 4 | 10 |

The species which occur in North America, except the Anolis principalis, are found in the sonthern part of Florida only, and cannot be looked on as yet as more than accidentally there. The proportion of species peculiar to the islands is large and will be probably increased by further exploration.


[^0]:    *In describing a new species of Cachryx (C. erythromelas) Dr. Bonlenger, in the P.Z. Soc. London, 1886 , 1. 241, finds my criticism of Mr. Bocourt's disposition of that genus apparently self-contradictory. Mr. Bocourt wished to identify Cachryx with Hoplocercus, and I declared them to be not alike, meaning by this, not identical. I had previonsly asserted some Iikencss of Cachryx to Hoplocerens, which it has, of a snperficial kind, but at the same place I stated, "this genus is decidedly iguaniform," and compared it with Ctenosanra. As Dr. Boulengerplaces Cachryr between Ctenosamra and Hoplocercus, it is erident that his opinion of its affinities is the same as my own, expressed some twenty years ago.

